

ISSN : 2302-6278

PROSPECTS

Jurnal Humaniora, Sains, Pendidikan dan Pengajaran

Volume 8, Nomor 1, Mei 2018

Penasihat
Ketua STIBA SATYA WIDYA

Penanggung Jawab:
Nuria Mufidah, S.S. M.Pd

Ketua Penyunting:
Muchammad Fahmi, M.Pd.

Penyunting Bahasa
Dra. Firda Djuita

Penyunting Ahli

Drs. H.Hardono, MPd
Prof. Dr. D. Wagiman A., M.A.
Dr. H. Sueb Hadi Saputro, M.Pd.
Dr. H. Idris As, M.Pd.

Sekretaris
Seto Ariwibowo, S.Pd, M.Pd.

Dicetak Oleh
Perwira Media Nusantara (PMN)
Jl. Griya Kebraon Tengah XVII Blok FI - 10 Surabaya
Telp. 0317672603. Email : perwiramedia.nusantara@yahoo.co.id

Sekretariat
STIBA "SATYA WIDYA"
Jln. Bendul Merisi Utara VIII No.23 Tlp. 031 8494369 Fax. 0318416870 Surabaya
Website : stiba-satyawidya.ac.id E-mail : [info @ stiba-satyawidya.ac.id](mailto:info@stiba-satyawidya.ac.id)
E-mail : p3m@stiba-satyawidya.ac.id

PROSPECTS, *Jurnal Humaniora, Sains, Pendidikan dan Pengajaran* diterbitkan oleh STIBA "SATYA WIDYA" Surabaya. Jurnal ini sebagai media informasi dan media penuangan ide-ide kritis dan hasil-hasil penelitian yang berkaitan dengan humaniora, sains, pendidikan dan pengajaran. Jurnal ini terbit 2 kali setahun, pada bulan Mei dan November. Jurnal ini pertama kali terbit pada bulan November 2012.

Untuk Berlangganan Hubungi Redaksi Jurnal PROSPECTS
Sekretariat STIBA "SATYA WIDYA"
Jln. Bendul Merisi Utara VIII No.23 Tlp. 031 8494369 Fax. 0318416870 Surabaya
Website : stiba-satyawidya.ac.id E-mail : [info @ stiba-satyawidya.ac.id](mailto:info@stiba-satyawidya.ac.id)
E-mail : p3m@stiba-satyawidya.ac.id

PROSPECTS

Jurnal Humaniora, Sains, Pendidikan dan Pengajaran

Volume 8, Nomor 1, Mei 2018

DAFTAR ISI

Chyntia Heru Woro Prastiwi¹; Yuniarta Ita Purnama²
**BIPA TEXTBOOK: A CULTURAL CONTENT ANALYSIS
OF BASIC INDONESIAN (AN INTRODUCTORY COURSE BOOK)**
1 - 9

Indra Iis Wahyuni¹; Chatarini, S. N. L²; R. Silvia, A³
COINAGE FOUND IN UNILEVER'S PRODUCTS "FOOD AND BEVERAGE"
11 - 22

Chusnul Khotimah¹; Hasrdono²; Firda Djuwita³
**EXPRESSIVE ACTS USED BY THE CHARACTERS IN MORE WISHING
CHAIR STORIES BY ENID BLYTON**
23 - 27

Meiga Ratih Tirtanawati¹; Refi Ranto Rozak²
**CORRELATION BETWEEN CONSCIENTIOUSNESS, SENTENCE
STRUCTURE MASTERY, AND READING ABILITY (A CORRELATIONAL
STUDY AT THE ELEVENTH GRADE STUDENTS OF SMAN 1 DANDER
IN THE ACADEMIC YEAR 2016/2017)**
29 - 39

Natrah Priyandani Pertiwi¹; Hardono²; Firda Djuita³
**FLOUTING OF CONVERSATIONAL MAXIM USED BY SOFIA VERGARA IN
"THE ELLEN SHOW"**
41 - 45

Nico Irawan
**THE INTENDED MEANING OF SPEECH ACTS ON RIP PORTER'S
CONVERSATION IN LIKE DANDELION DUST BY KAREN KINGSBURY**
47 - 56

Nico Irawan
THE EDUCATIONAL ISSUE OF INDONESIAN ENGLISH CURRICULUM 2013
57 - 61

Ratih Desiana¹; Hardono²; Chatarini, S.N. L³
A PSYCHOANALYSIS UNDERGONE BY LINDA IN PAULO COELHO'S ADULTERY
63 - 65

**CORRELATION BETWEEN CONSCIENTIOUSNESS, SENTENCE
STRUCTURE MASTERY, AND READING ABILITY (A CORRELATIONAL
STUDY AT
THE ELEVENTH GRADE STUDENTS OF SMAN 1 DANDER
IN THE ACADEMIC YEAR 2016/2017)**

Meiga Ratih Tirtanawati¹; Refi Ranto Rozak²

¹meigaratihirtanawati@gmail.com

²refi.ranto@ikipgribojonegoro.ac.id

IKIP PGRI Bojonegoro

Abstract

The objectives of this research are to find out the correlation between (1) conscientiousness and reading ability (2) sentence structure mastery and reading skill; and (3) conscientiousness, sentence structure mastery and reading ability. This research used quantitative method, that is, correlational study. Based on the results of the study, it can be concluded that there is a positive and significant correlation between (1) sentence structure mastery and reading skill; (2) self-esteem and reading skill; and (3) sentence structure mastery and self-esteem simultaneously and reading skill. The result of this research shows that sentence structure mastery and self-esteem are important factors that give contribution to reading skill. Both sentence structure mastery and self-esteem give 70.29% effective contribution to reading skill. Therefore, sentence structure mastery and self-esteem should be considered in increasing students' reading skill, although the factors are not the only variables determining reading skill. It is suggested that teachers create innovation in utilizing any interesting activity and develop lesson plan which enable students to acquire sentence structure knowledge easily.

Keywords: correlation, conscientiousness, sentence structure mastery, reading skill.

A. INTRODUCTION

English has been playing a major role in many sectors of human life from science, economy, medicine, education etc. It also has important role in connecting all nations in this world. With the importance of today's role of English as International standard language, it is undoubtable that the language teaching has become important in many countries. The goal of the language teaching is to develop communicative competence both in oral and written. In order to develop it, there are four basic language skills in English that taught by teachers in classrooms. Those are listening, speaking, reading and writing. Dealing with those skills, Sadeghi

(2013:243) believes that reading is the most important skill for second language learners in the academic context. It is quite clear why reading is important skill since the students who cannot read and comprehend a written language cannot be expected to be good in other content areas which will indispensably require reading ability (Decker, in Sadeghi 2013:243). It is not arguable that it becomes an important skill that should be mastered considering the need of understanding international written information such as: books, articles, magazines, newspaper, and so on.

Reading ability plays an important role in teaching learning process. For students, it is

important since those who have good reading ability can absorb information from unlimited references to gain knowledge which is useful to support their learning process. Dealing with reading, Aebersold & Field (1995: 15) say that –reading is what happens when people look at the text and assign meaning to the written symbols in that text. In line with Aebersold & Field, William (1984: 12) says –reading is a process whereby one looks at and understands what has been written. By these definitions, it can be said that reading is a process or activity which involves the reader and the text whereby the reader interact with the text to elicit the meaning of the written symbols in the text. There are two organs that play important role during the process of interaction between the reader and the text. Two organs are sensory perception and brain. Sensory perception plays important role to observe words on the text, and brain plays important role to decode what is meant by the wording being observed. It is also important for the readers to understand the context of the text. An English word may have multiple meanings depending on the context of the text. By understanding the context of the text, the readers are able to put the appropriate meaning that is suitable with the context of the text.

Successful comprehension allows the reader to acquire new informations from the text and to reach academic success. Murcia (2001: 187) says that –reading comprehension is reading to understand main ideas and relevant supporting information. Comprehension is important in reading. Without it, the reader only read the text without getting the needed information from the text either explicitly or implicitly from the writer. Further White (1997: 41) says that –comprehension involves more than simply decoding. It involves the recognizing the significance of the message, understanding the intentions of the author and going beyond what is written to guess at hidden, unstated or implied means. In short, it can be said that reading comprehension is the

ability of the readers to understand ideas and information stated on the text either explicitly or implicitly.

Many factors are brought by the readers into the text before, during and after reading activity. According to Hedgcock and Ferris (2009, p. 50), a reader brings a number of different things into the read process, including purpose of reading (e.g., for survival, information/learning, or pleasure), background (the influence of family, school, and culture or subculture of origin), attitudes towards reading and literacy in general, prior knowledge (linguistic, content, and rhetorical), and individual differences in personality, learning styles and strategies, reading strategies and life experience.

Furthermore, Brown (in Simanjutak, 1988, p. 12) states that no successful cognitive or affective activity can be carried without some degree of self-confidence, self-knowledge, and belief in own capabilities for that activity. He believes that personality characteristics contribute in some way to the success of reading fluency. Personality takes role to choose the suitable learning style in process of achieving the learning language goal. It gives motivation for students to learn in their own way.

One of the personality characters is conscientiousness. According to McCrae and Costa (2006, p. 50), –conscientious people consider that they are high in; competence, self-discipline, achievement striving and pursuing excellence in everything they do. They are also characterized by deliberation, making plans in advance and thinking carefully before acting. Conscientiousness is individual's characteristics in terms of self-discipline, achievement-striving, motivation to pursue the goal, and deliberation. Furthermore, conscientiousness is assumed that it will contribute toward students' performance if that characteristic is placed as a consideration to choose a method in language teaching.

Miller (1972, p. Vii) says that reading is especially important to the conscientious student

who is engaged in the search for understanding of the many concepts in education area. This type of student needs efficient reading habit to cover their language learning's goal. It can be assumed that conscientiousness plays an important role in achieving the goal of language learning through reading activity. Therefore, the researcher thinks conscientiousness characteristics will influence students' reading achievement through their motivation way.

Another factor that is considered influencing reading skill is Sentence Structure Mastery. As mentioned before, reading skill needs good understanding of English syntax and its grammar patterns. Thus, English language learners must master those two aspects as well. Moreover, sentence structure is a fundamental aspect that should be mastered by English language learners because it will strengthen their comprehension on English texts and utterances. Radford (2009: 39) states — syntactic structure is the way of how words are combined together to generate phrases and sentences. Accordingly, sentence structure is the way of how words and phrases are arranged altogether to create grammatically correct sentences.

Lambrecht (1996) explained that sentence structure is one of components of grammar which is concerned with —the organization of sentence within a discourse (p. 7). Logically in paraphrasing a text, students should know the structure of sentence. The mastery of the structure of sentence will enable students to make effective sentences in delivering and expressing ideas to others in their paraphrasing activity. The absence of sentence structure mastery will lead students to deliver different meaning from sources they read.

In this study, the researcher uses correlational method. Fraenkel and his colleagues (2011, p. 10) define correlational method as —a method which is to clarify our understanding of important phenomena by identifying relationships among variables. In other words, correlational research is a study to investigate and describe how strength

the relationship between variables is being studied.

The researcher uses cluster random sampling to choose the sample of the data. Johnson and Cristensen (2000, p. 156) explain that clusters (such as school, classrooms, and universities). Collecting the data includes some techniques which consist of several main aspects. Test and questionnaire will be used to collect all data in this research. Test is used to collecting vocabulary mastery and reading achievement of the students, meanwhile, questionnaire is used to collecting data of conscientiousness trait. In this study, the questionnaire type uses a close-type questionnaire.

The try-out instrument is done by the selected students based on cluster random sampling technique. In this research, the class which is chosen by cluster random sampling is class XI-3 of tenth Grade Students of SMAN 1 Dander in the academic year 2016/2017.

The Validity the Instrument

In order to find out the validity of the items being tasted in the test, the result of the try-out will be computed by using the following formula:

$$\frac{\sum X \sum Y}{\sqrt{\sum X^2 \sum Y^2}}$$

Where:

- r_{xy} : the coefficient of the correlation between variable X and Y
 - X : the sum of the scores of each item
 - Y : the sum of the scores of each student
 - N : the number of the students
- (Sudjana, 2005, p. 369)

The Reliability of the Instrument

To measure the reliability of vocabulary and reading achievement the writer will use Kuder Richardson-20 Formula or KR-20. The formula as follows:

$$\frac{\sum}{\dots}$$

Where:

- r_{kr20} : the Kuder-Richardson formula
20 reliability coefficient
- k : number of test items
- p : the proportion of test takers
who pass the items
- q : the proportion of test takers
who fail the items
- S_t^2 : the variance of the total test
scores

(Johnson and Christensen, 2000, p. 105)

Meanwhile the researcher used Alpha Cronbach formula to test the reliability of conscientiousness questionnaire. The Alpha Cronbach formula is presented as follows:

$$r = \left[\frac{k}{k-1} \right] \left[1 - \frac{\sum S_i^2}{S_t^2} \right]$$

Techniques of Analyzing the Data

After collecting the data, the next step is analysing the data to know whether there is a positive correlation between conscientiousness and reading ability, vocabulary mastery and reading achievement. In this research, the research is going to use some techniques of analysing data, they are as follows:

Prerequisite Test

To find out the normality of the data, the researcher will use the formula as follows:

$$\sqrt{\dots}$$

$$F_{(zi)} = 0.5 \pm \text{table value}$$

If the data value of Z_i is positive, the computation is the data value of $F_{(zi)}$ plus (+) the L -table (*Liliefors* table) value. Meanwhile, if the

data value of Z_i is negative, the computation is the data value of $F_{(zi)}$ minus (-) the L -table (*Liliefors* table) value.

After conducting normality test, the researcher conducted linearity and significance regression test to identify whether the regression of the data is significant. The researcher used formulas linearity of regression test as follows:

Where :

$$\frac{\sum \sum \sum \sum}{\sum \sum}$$

$$\frac{\sum \sum \sum}{\sum \sum}$$

$$\sum$$

$$\frac{\sum}{\dots}$$

$$\sum \frac{\sum \sum}{\dots}$$

$$\frac{\sum \sum \sum}{\dots}$$

Hypothesis Testing

To test the first and second hypothesis which say that there is a positive correlation between students' conscientiousness and their reading achievement, and students' vocabulary mastery and their reading achievement, the researcher used Person's Product Moment Correlation Coefficient as follow:

$$\frac{\sum X \sum Y}{\sqrt{\sum X^2 \sum Y^2}}$$

Where:

- r* : the coefficient of the correlation between variable X and Y
- X : the independent variable
- Y : the dependent variable
- n : the number of the sample
(Sudjana, 2005, p. 369)

Furthermore, to find if *r* is significant or not, the researcher will use t-test formula as follows:

$$\frac{\sqrt{1-r^2}}{r}$$

Where:

- t* = the value of distribution of t
- r* = the coefficient of correlation between X and Y
- n* = the number of sample
- it can be said *r* (the coefficient correlation between X and Y) is significant $t_0 > t_t$ (1.7).

The next step is testing the correlation between all variables using Multiple Correlation to find out whether there is a positive correlation or not between conscientiousness and vocabulary mastery simultaneously and students' reading

achievement. The researcher used Multiple Linear Regression. The formula as follows:

$$\sqrt{\frac{\sum \sum}{\sum}}$$

Where:

- R*² : coefficient correlation X₁ and X₂ towards Y
- a*₁ : coefficient of independent variable X₁
- a*₂ : coefficient of independent variable X₂
- $\sum X_1 Y$: sum of product moment between X₁ and X₂
- $\sum X_2 Y$: sum of product moment between X₂ and Y
- $\sum Y^2$: sum of square of dependent variable Y

The last step is find out the significance of coefficient *R*_{y12} use the formula of Regression Line Analysis.

$$\frac{\quad}{\quad}$$

Where:

- F : the value of regression line
- R: the coefficient of correlation between X₁, X₂, and Y
- k : the number of independent variable
- n : the number of sample

Relative Contribution of Each Predictor

The computation of relative contribution (RC%) is using the formula front Hadi (in Hardiman and Nugroho, 2013, p. 111) as follow:

$$\frac{\sum}{\sum}$$

RC total = RC₁ + RC₂

Where :

RC₁ : relative contribution of X₁
 RC₂ : relative contribution of X₂
 α_i : the normal coefficient of X_i
 SS_{reg} : total amounty square regression

EC%X₂ : effective contribution of X₂
 R² : determinant coefficient (coeffi-
 cient of correlation between X₁, X₂, and
 Y

Effective Contribution

Effective contribution is the predictor contribution which is computed from the whole regression effectiveness. To find out the effective contribution (EC), the researcher uses the formula from Hadi (in Hardiman and Nugroho, 2013, p.

11) as follow:

$$EC\%X_1 = RC\%X_1 \times R^2$$

$$EC\%X_2 = RC\%X_2 \times R^2$$

$$EC\% \text{ total} = EC\%X_1 + EC\%X_2$$

Where :

EC%X₁ : effective contribution of X₁

B. DISCUSSIONS AND FINDINGS

The obtained data of each variable which have been scored representing Conscientiousness, sentence structure mastery, and reading ability are described as follows:


The Data Of Conscientiousness

The data of conscientiousness were collected using questionnaire. The questionnaire consists of 32 items. The maximum score obtained by the students is 85.16, the minimum score is 67.68, and the standard deviation is 7.97.

Table 1. The frequency distribution of conscientiousness

| Class limits | Class boundaries | Midpoint | Tally | Freq | % |
|--------------|------------------|----------|-------|------|-------|
| 57 – 62 | 56.5 – 62.5 | 59.5 | HHIII | 8 | 36.36 |
| 63 – 68 | 62.5 – 68.5 | 65.5 | HH | 5 | 22.73 |
| 69 – 74 | 68.5 – 74.5 | 71.5 | III | 3 | 14 |
| 75 – 80 | 74.5 – 80.5 | 77.5 | HH | 5 | 22.73 |
| 81 - 86 | 80.5 – 86.5 | 83.5 | I | 1 | 4.55 |
| | | | | 22 | 100 |

Figure 1. Conscientiousness



The Data Of Sentence Structure Mastery


The data of structure mastery were collected from sentence structure mastery test. The test in the from of multiple choices test. It

consists of 40 items. The maximum score obtained by the students is 62.5, the minimum score is 22.5, the mean is 40.73, and the standard deviation is 11.55.

Table 2. Frequency Distribution of Sentence Structure Mastery

| Class limits | Class boundaries | Midpoint | Tally | Freq | % |
|--------------|------------------|----------|--------|------|-------|
| 22 – 30 | 21.5 – 30.5 | 26 | III | 3 | 13.64 |
| 31 – 39 | 30.5 – 39.5 | 35 | IIII | 7 | 31.82 |
| 40 – 48 | 39.5 – 48.5 | 44 | IIIIII | 8 | 36.36 |
| 49 – 57 | 48.5 – 57.5 | 53 | III | 3 | 13.64 |
| 58 – 66 | 57.5 – 66.5 | 62 | I | 1 | 4.55 |
| | | | | 22 | 100 |

Figure 2. Sentence Structure Histogram




The Data of Reading Ability

The data of reading ability were collected from reading test in the form of multiple choices test. The test consist of 40

items. The maximum score obtained by the students is 75, the minimum score is 25, the mean is 42.23, and the standard deviation is 11.55.

Table 3. Frequency Distribution of Reading Ability

| Class limits | Class boundaries | Midpoint | Tally | Freq | % |
|--------------|------------------|----------|------------|------|-------|
| 22 – 37 | 24.5 – 37.5 | 31 | IIII III | 8 | 36.36 |
| 38 – 50 | 37.5 – 50.5 | 44 | IIII III I | 11 | 50.00 |
| 51 – 63 | 50.5 – 63.5 | 57 | I | 1 | 4.55 |
| 64 – 76 | 63.5 – 76.5 | 70 | II | 2 | 9.09 |
| | | | | 22 | 100 |



The Analysis of Pre-Requirement Test

Normality Test

The result of the normality test of conscientiousness (X_1), sentence structure mastery (X_2), and reading ability (Y) shows that L_0 (0.1188) < L_1 (0.190) for conscientiousness, L_0 (0.1370) < L_1 (0.190) for sentence structure mastery, and L_0 (0.1792) < L_1 (0.190) for reading ability; it can be concluded that the data of conscientiousness (X_1), structure mastery (X_2), and reading ability (Y) are in normal distribution.

Linearity of Regression Test

The linearity of regression test result shows that $F_0(2.13)$ is lower than $F_{t(95/8,12)}(2.85)$ or $F_0(2.13) < F_{t(95/8,12)}(2.85)$ for sentence structure mastery (X_1) and reading ability (Y) and $F_0(0.82)$ is lower than $F_{t(95/6,14)}(2.85)$ or $F_0(0.82) < F_{t(95/6,14)}(2.85)$ for conscientiousness (X_2) and reading ability (Y). It is also shown that $F_0(22.47)$ is higher than $F_{t(95/1,20)}(4.35)$ or $F_0(22.47) > F_{t(95/1,20)}(4.35)$ for sentence structure mastery (X_1) and conscientiousness (X_2) simultaneously and reading ability (Y). To be concluded, the regression of (1) sentence structure mastery (X_1) and reading ability (Y); (2) conscientiousness (X_2) and reading ability (Y); and conscientiousness (3) sentence structure mastery (X_1) and (X_2) simultaneously and reading ability (Y) are linear.

From the computation of linear regression test of sentence structure mastery (X_1) and reading skill (Y), it also shows that the

value of coefficient $a = 3.92$ and $b = 0.9$. Therefore, the linear regression equation becomes $\hat{Y} = 3.92 + 0.99 X_1$. Mean while, the computation of linear regression test of self – esteem (X_2) and reading skill (Y) shows that the value of coefficient $a = 5.02$ and $b = 0.57$. therefore, the linear regression equation becomes $\hat{Y} = 5.02 + 0.57 X_2$.

Significance of Regression Test

The significance of regression test result shows that $F_0(37.03)$ is higher than $F_{t(95/1,20)}(4.35)$ or $F_0(37.03) > F_{t(95/1,20)}(4.35)$ for sentence structure mastery (X_1) and reading ability (Y) and $F_0(5.06)$ is higher than $F_{t(95/1,20)}(4.35)$ or $F_0(5.06) > F_{t(95/1,20)}(4.35)$ for conscientiousness (X_2) and reading ability (Y). It is also shown that $F_0(22.47)$ is higher than $F_{t(95/1,20)}(4.35)$ or $F_0(22.47) > F_{t(95/1,20)}(4.35)$ for sentence structure mastery (X_1) and conscientiousness (X_2) simultaneously and reading skill (Y). To be concluded, the regression of: (1) sentence structure mastery (X_1) and reading ability (Y); (2) conscientiousness (X_2) and reading ability (Y); and (3) sentence structure mastery (X_1) and conscientiousness (X_2) simultaneously and reading ability (Y) are significant.

The Hypotheses Testing

The hypothesis above means that there is a positive correlation between conscientiousness (X_1) and reading ability (Y). From the computation of simple regression analysis of X_1 and Y, it shows that the value of coefficient $a=3.92$ and $b=0.99$. Based on the result above, the regression equation $Y = 3.92 + 0.99X_1$ that has been proved linear and significant can be used to predict students' achievement in reading ability based on their sentence structure mastery. It means that students' achievement in reading ability (Y) would increase 0.99 if the sentence structure mastery (X_1) was increased in one point in the constant score of 3.92.

From the simple correlation computation of X_1 and Y, the value of coefficient of correlation (r_o) is 0.8058 and coefficient of determination (r_o^2) is 0.6493. The value of r_{x_1y} (0.8058) is consulted to t-table at the significance level for $n = 22$ (0.423). Because the result shows that r_{x_1y} (0.8058) is higher than r_t (0.423) or r_{x_1y} (0.8058) > r_t (0.423), it means that r_o is significant. Based on the analysis above, the null hypothesis (H_o) is rejected and the alternative hypothesis (H_a) is accepted. Therefore, it can be concluded that there is a positive correlation between sentence structure mastery (X_1) and reading ability (Y).

The hypothesis above means that there is a positive correlation between sentence structure mastery (X_2) and reading ability (Y). From the computation of simple regression analysis of X_2 and Y, it shows that the value of coefficient $a = 5.02$ and $b = 0.57$. Therefore, the regression equation ($Y = a+bx_2$) can be drawn as the following: $Y = 5.02 + 0.57X_2$. Based on the result above, the regression equation $Y = 5.02 + 0.57X_2$ that has been proved linear and significant can be used to predict student'

achievement in reading ability based on their sentence structure mastery. It means that student' achievement in reading ability (Y) would increase 0.57 if the sentence structure mastery (X_2) was increased in one point in the constant score of 5.02.

From the simple correlation computation of X_2 and Y, the value of coefficient of correlation (r_o) is 0.5178 and coefficient of determination (r_o^2) is 0.2682. The value of

(0.5178) is consulted to r -table at the significance level = 0.05 for $n = 22$ (0.423). because the result shows that (0.5178) is higher than r_t (0.423) or (0.5178) > r_t (0.423), it means that r_o is significant.

Based on the analysis above, the null hypothesis (H_o) is rejected and the alternative hypothesis (H_a) is accepted. Therefore, it can be concluded that there is a positive correlation between sentence structure mastery (X_2) and reading ability (Y). r_o^2 (0.2682) is coefficient of determination, meaning that 26.82% variance of reading ability (Y) is determined by sentence structure mastery (X_2) and 73.18% variance of reading ability (Y) is determined by other factors.

The hypothesis above means that there is a positive correlation between conscientiousness (X_1) and sentence structure mastery (X_2) simultaneously and reading ability (Y). The writer used multiple linear regression technique. From the computation of multiple linear regression test, the result shows that the value of the coefficient $a_0 = -18.93$, $a_1 = 0.94$, and $a_2 = 0.36$. Therefore, the multiple regression equation of Y on X_1 and X_2 becomes : $Y = -18.93 + 0.94X_1 + 0.36X_2$

Moreover, the computation of multiple linear regression test also shows that the value of F_o is 22.47. Then, the value of F_o (22.47) is consulted to F -table at the significance level = 0.05 and the degree of freedom (d.f) for 1:20

(4.35). Because the result shows that F_0 (22.47) is higher than $F_{t(1.20)}$ for $\alpha = 0.05$ (4.35), or F_0 (22.47) $> F_t$ (4.35), it means that the multiple linear regression is significant. The complete data of multiple linear regression of conscientiousness (X_1) and sentence structure mastery (X_2) simultaneously and reading ability (Y) can be seen in appendix.

Based on the data above, because $Y = -18.93 + 0.94X_1 + 0.36X_2$ is linear and significant, it can be used to predict the correlation between conscientiousness (X_1) and sentence structure mastery (X_2) simultaneously and reading ability (Y). It means that in every one point increase from conscientiousness (X_1) together with sentence structure mastery (X_2), there will be an increase of 1.30 of the reading ability (Y) in the constant score of -14.93.

From the multiple correlation computation using regression line analysis, the result shows that the value of the coefficient of multiple correlation (R) is 0.8384 and R^2 is 0.7029. The significance testing of this coefficient in $F_{\text{observation}}$ is 22.47. The value of F_0 (22.47) is consulted to F -table at the significance level $\alpha = 0.05$ and the degree of freedom (d.f) for 1:20 (4.35). Because F_0 (22.47) is higher than F_t (4.35), or $F_0(22.47) > F_t(4.35)$, it can be concluded that the coefficient of multiple correlation (R) is significant.

Based on the data above, the null hypothesis (H_0) is rejected and the alternative hypothesis (H_a) is accepted. Therefore, it can be concluded that there is a positive correlation between conscientiousness (X_1) and sentence structure mastery (X_2) simultaneously and reading ability (Y). R^2 (0.7029) is the coefficient of determination, meaning that 70.29% variance of reading ability (Y) is determined by conscientiousness (X_1) and sentence structure mastery (X_2) and the rest, 29.71% variance, is determined by other factors.

The Contribution Analysis

It can be concluded that the effective contribution: (1) conscientiousness (X_1) to reading ability (Y) is 61.63%; (2) sentence structure mastery (X_2) to reading ability (Y) is 8.66%; and conscientiousness (X_1) and sentence structure mastery (X_2) simultaneously to reading ability (Y) is 70.29%.

C. CONCLUSION

There is a positive correlation between conscientiousness (X_1) and reading ability (Y) of the eleventh grade IPA (Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam) students of SMA N 1 Dander in the academic year of 2016/2017 (

). The relative contribution of conscientiousness (X_1) toward reading ability (Y) is 87.68 % while the effective contribution is 61.63%. It means that the increase of (X_1) will be followed by the increase of reading ability (Y)

There is positive correlation between sentence structure mastery (X_2) and reading ability (Y) of the tenth grade IPA (Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam) students of SMA N 1 Dander in the academic year of 2016/2017 (). The relative contribution of sentence structure mastery (X_2) toward reading ability (Y) is 12.32% while the effective contribution is 8.66%. It means that the increase of sentence structure mastery (X_2) will be followed by the increase of reading ability (Y)

There is positive correlation between conscientiousness (X_1) and sentence structure mastery (X_2) simultaneously and reading ability (Y) of the tenth grade IPA (Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam) students of SMAN 1 Dander in the academic year of 2016/2017

. The contribution of conscientiousness (X_1) sentence structure mastery (X_2) simultaneously toward reading ability (Y) is 70.29%. It means that the increase of the conscientiousness (X_1) and sentence

structure mastery (X_2) will be followed by the increase of reading ability (Y)

An EFL Context. *English Teaching And Learning*. Vol 31 No 2, 45-87.

REFERENCES

Akyel, M. 2004. Reading to learn: Effects Of Combined Strategy Instruction On High School Students. *Journal Educational Research*. Vol. 97 (4):171

Barjesteh, H., and Vaseghi, R. 2012. Critical Thinking: A Reading Strategy In Developing English Reading Comprehension Performance. *Sheikhbahae EFL Journal* Vol. I No. 2, 21-34

Brown, G. D. & Edmondson, R. 2004. Asking Questions. In Wragg, E. C. *Classroom Teaching Skills*. Worcester: Billing And Sons Limited.

Cooper, J. M. & Simmon. 1990. *Classroom Teaching Skills*. Toronto: Heath And Company

Fahim, M., And Ahmadi, H. 2012. Critical Thinking, Content Schemata And EFL Readers' Comprehension And Recall. *Journal Of Comparative Literature And Culture* Vol. 1 No 2, 2012

Hamra, A. And Syatriana, E. 2010. Developing A Model Of Teaching Reading Comprehension For EFL Students. *TEFLIN Journal* Vol. 21 No 1, 27-40

Kim & Park. 2011. Reading Strategy Use By English As A Second Language Learners In Online Reading Tasks. *Science Direct: Computers And Education*. Vol. 57 P. 2156-2166.

Lamb, P. & Arnorld, R. 1986. *Reading Foundations And Instructional Strategies*. California: Wadsworth Publishing Company, Inc.

Liaw, M. 2007. Content Based Reading And Writing For Critical Thinking Skills In

Nuttal, C. 1982. *Teaching Reading Skills In A Foreign Language*. London: Heinemann Education Book Ltd.

Sziabowski, C. 2008. Science SLAMS—A Reading Strateg For Answering Open Ended Questions. *Science Scope*. Vol. 32, No. 3. Pp.53-56.

Urlaub, P. 2012. Reading Strategies And Literature Instruction: Teaching Learners To Generate Questions To Foster Literary Reading In The Second Language System. Vol. 40. P. 296-304