AN ERROR ANALYSIS ON STUDENTS' SPEAKING PERFORMANCE

Uswatul Khasanah Dr. Ahmad Haryadi, M. Pd. Chyntia Heru Woro, M. Pd.

ENGLISH EDUCATION DEPARTMENT FACULTY OF LANGUAGES ND ARTS EDUCATION IKIP PGRI Bojonegoro Uswatulkhasanah021@gmail.com

Abstract

Speaking is always an important aspect to people in their life. There are some error in speakings' performance. From speaking, people can communicate their feeling, share their ideas and opinions. The main objective of this research is to know the kind of errors that the students of SMPN 2 Padangan, Bojonegoro do in Speaking. Theoritically, this research intends to analyze the student's speaking performance. The writer uses qualitative method in this research where the writer analyze the student's speaking to know the kind of error the student do most in their speaking performance. After that, the writer describes the number of errors that the student's made. The writer describes the error in each sentence and gives an analysis. For this research, the writer analyses each student's speaking, and find out, and classifies the error he or she made, and lists them in form of tables. After analyzing the data, there are four points errors made by students. First, error in pronounciation. The second, error in grammar. The third, error in fluency and last is error in the vocabulary.

Keywords : Error Analysis, Speaking, Speaking Performance

Abstrak

Berbicara adalah aspek penting untuk seseorang dihidup mereka. Ada beberapa macam error di performa berbicara. Dari berbicara, seseorang dapat berkomunikasi perasaan mereka, menluapkan ide dan pendapat mereka. Tujuan dari penelitian adalah untuk mengetahui jenis dari error yang siswa SMPN 2 Padangan, Bojonegoro buat pada Speaking. Secara teori, penulis intens menganalisa performa speaking siswa. Penulis menggunakan metode kualitatif di penelitian ini dimana penulis menganalisa speaking siswa untuk mengetahui jenis dari error yg paling banyak siswa lakukan pada performa speaking mereka. Setelah itu, penulis menjelaskan error yang siswa buat. Penulis menganalisa setiap speaking siswa, menganalisa, dan mengklasifikasi error yg mereka buat, dan membuatnya dalam bentuk tabel. Setelah menganalisa data, ada empat jenis poin error yg dibuat oleh siswa. Pertama, pada pronounciation. Kedua, error pada grammar. Ketiga, error pada fluency, dan terakhir error pada vocabulary.

Kata kunci : analisis error, berbicara, performa berbicara.

INTRODUCTION

English has a very important role. Indeed, it said that mastering English is a prime requirement for individuaal success especially for Indonesian in facing globalization era. All students in Indonesia have been learning English since they were at fourth grade.

Learning english as a foreign language is not easy and only few succed in mastering it. According to Mackey, that the learner has had experiences with another language. A person who has been using certain language since early childhood already had experience with his language and when he started to learn a new language, he would face with the problem of different sound, words, and sentences, with different grouping.

In mastering English, all the languages skill must be applied and integrated in teaching English, especially Speaking. Speaking is always an important aspect to people in their life. Because by speaking communicate people can their feeling, share their ideas and opinions. As a language skill, speaking is sometimes undervalued, or in some circles, taken for granted. Speaking means а lot in communication. So it means that speaking is an activity that people can communicate what they want directly and the others can grasp and give responses in a short time. As a foreign language. in our country, English has become popular for the people to use it in daily conversation or as a language reference like in teaching learning process in the classroom, the direction of using technological things Practically, in teaching learning

process in the classroom, some students do lots of mistakes or even some errors in their speaking activity. Student often lack the confidence to just speak and not worry about making mistakes.

In this study, the writer chooses SMPN 2 Padangan as setting of the study because the score of the students in English speaking is low, students only 50% who get standardized score (Kriteria Ketuntasan Minimal). It is purposively choosen as a research setting. For that reason the writer chooses the title of this paper "Error Analysis on Student's Speaking performance (A case study at the eight grade students of SMPN 2 Padangan)".

Dulay and his friends say "Errors are the flawed side of learner speech or writing". Error are caused by lack of knowledge about target language or an incorrect hypothesis about it. Errors are noticeable and can not be self corrected. According to Els, "error are the deviations from the second language norm produced by second language learners".

METHOD

The data analysis was started after students' speaking all the performance collected. The second step in analyzing students' error was identification of the errors. The identification was done by listening the student's speaking and finding the errors. The third step was classification the error types. .According to Mahsun (2007:32) "Analyzing data is a step was held after collecting the data".

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSIONS

1. Kind of Errors in Speaking Ability

Types of error which are written by the researcher and explaining the frequency of error on each item, the researcher would like to talk more about the errors that get high frequency in student's speaking performance

Directly, the writer interpreted the data.

1) **Pronounciation**

Ν	Error	The error	Fre	Cor
0			que	rect
			ncy	ion
			of	
			Err	
			or	
1	Since	sInce	1	sIns
	Thank	theng	1	thæ
2	Wait	wait	1	nk
	Very	fery	1	weI
3	Becaus	bekaus	1	t
	e	behin	1	ve:r
4	Behind	twis	1	у
	Twice	gud	1	bik
	Good	diffikult	1	əs
5	Difficul	pessed	1	bih
	t	wok	1	aIn
	Passed	listening	1	d
6	Worked	isi	1	twa
	Listeni	fois	1	Is
7	ng	many	1	gu:
	Easy	who	1	d
8	Voice			diff
	Many			ikəl
	Who			t
9				peI
				ssd
1				W3:
0				k
1				lise
1				nin
				g
1				izi
2				voi
				S

Ν	Error	The error	Fre	Cor
0			que	rect
•			ncy	ion
			of	
			Err	
			or	
1				me
3				ny
•				hυ
	Total		16	

2) Gram

Т

			second, made errors in word			
		order . the third, made errors				
	in word order. The fourth,					
nmar		made errors in plural noun.				
Table 4.2			Th	e fifth,	made errors in	
	ror Analysis of		sin	ple tense	e. The sixth made	
	Frammar	errors in plural. The seventh				
No	Error	Frequences entry in Contractionhe				
		Erre	orig	hth mad	e errors in tense.	
1	I have two brother		Th	e ninth	made entropy two	
	and three sister			position	and brothers Thand	
			-	t, made	e errohreensisters	
2	What is father's		orc	ler.	What is <u>your</u>	
	<u>your</u> job?			1	<u>father's j</u> ob?	
3	What <u>are</u> you			1	What <u>is</u> your	
	hobby?				hobby?	
4				1	My <u>hobby</u>	
	My <u>hobby is</u>			1	<u>are</u> playing	
	playing guitar,	3)	Vo	cabulary	, guitar,	
5	running and fishing	- /		1 T	able 4 ¹ / ₂ ^{nning} and	
6	She <u>have</u> pretty	¹ The Error Analysis of				
	face	Vocabulahe.has pretty				
7	There are <u>200</u>	Γ	N	Stude	Freque Corre	
8	<u>student</u>		0	nts	ency ^{here} ctron <u>200</u>	
			-	Senten	of students	
9	Three brother			ce/	ErrThree	
	My hobby is <u>read</u>			Expre	brothers	
				ssion	My hobby is	
10	I live <u>at</u> Kuncen	ŀ	1	The	1 reading e	
	She have a good		-	movie	I linevie in	
	voice		2	is bor	1Kuncen	
			-	10 001	She bhashg a	
	How many students			where	good Whiefe	
	there are?		3	do you	1How do then	
	My live in		5	life?	studentse? are	

No	Error	Freque: Error
	Padangan	
	Total	12

These items are about

structure. Based recording speaking, the first, made errors in plural noun. The second, made errors in word

		(confu
		sed
He is		words)
e a		he is a
seler		seller
	3	

Based on the table. there are 3 errors in vocabulary made by 3groups. The first, was lack of vocabulary. The second, the groups use confused words. Group 5 wanted to explain that her father's job, so he stopped speaking for a while and the vocab is wrong.

4) Fluency

Table 4.4

Based on the table, there are 10 errors heard in recording students' speaking made by 8 groups.. They stopped speaking for a while or stopped speaking at all. These indication shows that some of groups have some errors in their fluency.

A. Discussions

This study is meant to answer the research problem. it was about kinds of speaking error where there in SMPN 2 Padangan

1. Kind of Speaking Error in SMPN 2 Padangan

Table 4.5 The Quantity of Errors

N The Aspect

The

1 able 4.4		IN	The Aspect	The	
The Error Analysis of		0		Quantit	
	Fluency				y of
N	The error	Frequency	Co	rrection	Error
0			1	Pronounciatio	16
			2	n	3
1	I am ee fourteen years old	1	3	and ocobrilers ye	ars 12
				Grammar	10
	I like eeIndonesian pop song	1	I 1	kelundomesian 1	oop
	This is group isAndra &			gTotal	- 41
2	The Backbone	1	Th	is is group	is
		Based	on	the draable, a	Fitrers of
	What do you think about this	pronou	in Ba	tikhoncovering 1	6. Errors
3	school? Enjoy happy	of gra	.m ħ ¥	hatcoderinygul 2th	Featrons of
		lvocabi	ulaanky	outovethinsg scho	Extrons of
	They are (ar, a:r) six person	fluenc	y Eh	Goy Tuxdahapopfy t	his error
		ngTh	ley are six persor	n 🛛	
	I lovea listening music	a. Prono	unci	ation	
5	She is eetwenty-five years			ve on Banjasjoha	
6	old	made	inI k	peakingeisingenfo	simoance is
7	Who are they?	pronou	ınSih	eionis Dietnopa	itye third
	Because guitar eehappy	editior	1, Pe l	arsouldciation is	the study
8		how		rdsare they? pr	
			-	zauseA glvian gena	
			-	e httpipty edition	
		words		be spoken in	
	Total	10 /ays			dividuals,
depending on many factors, such as :					

the duration of the cultural exposure of their childhood, thye ,location of their current residence, speech or voice disorders, their ethnic, their social class, or their education. Students had some difficulties in speech "f and v". For example when they are said "voice". They said "fois" not "voice". From the table shows that the highest error in speaking is in pronounciation.

b. Grammar

Second rate type of error is grammar. Gerot and Wignel (1994:2) state that grammar rule is a theory about the use of language. Some students made the error on the tenses, be, plural and others. For example when they said "I have two **brother** and three **sister**".

c. Fluency

On the third rate is fluency Fluency is the ability to easily, reasonably, speaking quickly and without having a stop and pause a lot. Good speakers communicate and get their message across smoothly, even though they may make mistakes. Communication is the most part of speaking. And it is important to communicate your ideas as naturally as possible. Some students made errors in fluency.

Example of error in fluency is:

"I live in Mbaru ee.....Banjarjo"

d. Vocabulary

The last rate is vocabulary. some of students used the confused words and in tenses.

Example of error in vocabulary: "where do you life?"

CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

A. Conclusion

Based on the finding and the discussion in the previous chapter, the writer had a conclusion as follows: The total of errors on student's speaking performance made by the eighth grade students of SMPN (Junior High School) 2 Padangan were 41 errors. These errors were found in the kinds of Speaking Error. There are pronounciation, grammar, fluency and vocabulary.

B. Suggestions

Based on the analysis, the writer had some suggestions for English Tearcher, students and next researcher as follows:

1. For the English Teacher

a. They should give more practices to the students in order to make them understand the materials better and reduce the error in their speaking.

2. For the Students

a. They should learn more about speaking

- b. Students should pay the attention to the correct pronunciation whether it pronounces by the teacher or by looking at the dictionary.
- 3. For the next Researcher

The next researchers can make this study as their

reference to conduct other researcher on the same field. They are also expected to be able to cover the limitation about this. they to solve the students' problem on the speaking errors.

REFERENCES

- Bogdan, & Taylor. (2009). *Qualitative Research Method*: Remaja Karya Cipta
- Brand, C., & Götz, S. (2011). Fluency versus accuracy in advanced spoken learner language: A multi-method approach. International Journal of Corpus Linguistics, 16, 255-275.
- Brindley, Susan. (2003). Teaching English. London: Routledge.
- Brown, Douglas(2000) Teaching By Principles: An Interactive Approach to Language Pedagogy. 2nd. Ed, Now York: Pearson Education.
- Chauday, Sharees.(1973). Testing Spoken English, English Teaching Forum,
- Corder, S. Pit. (1981) *Error Analysis* and Interlingua, New York: Oxford University.
- Creswell, J.W. (2007). Research Design: Qualitative, quantitave, and mixed ,ethods approach (4th ed). Boston, MA: Person Education, Inc.

Donough, Jo. Mc and Shaw, Christhoper (1993). Materials and Methods in ELT, A Teacher's Guide, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- Dulay, et al.(1982), *Language Two*. New York: Oxford Unversity Press.
- Els, (1996). Applied Linguistics and the Learning and Teaching of Foreign Language . London: Hodder & Stoughton.
- George, HV (1972).Common Errors in Language learning; insight from English Massachusetts: New York house published,
- Harmer, Jeremy. (1998) How to Teach English. London: Longman.
- Harimurti, Kridalaksana. (1982). *Kamus Linguistik*. Jakarta: Gramedia
- Hornby, As.(1964). Oxford Advance Learner's Dictionary of Current English. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Klaban, Marcin. (1998). Strategies for Developing Speaking Skills. East Bourne school of English Journal.
- Leaver, Betty Lou (2005). Second Language Acquisition. New York: Cambridge University press

Moleong Lexy J. (1991).the Method of Qualitative Research. Bandung: Remaja Rosda Karya.

- Merriam, (2003). Webster College Dictionary, Massachusetts USA: An Encyclopedia Britania Company.
- Rebecca M. Valette.(1977). *Modern Language Testing 2nd.* edition,USA: Ibid.

- Richards, Jack C(1971). English Language Teaching,vol.25 London: Oxford University Press.
- Schmitt, Nobert (2010). Improving Students Pronounciation by Using English Songs. Hidayatullah State Islamic University: Jakarta